

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	iO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/634,873		08/06/2003	Jerome Lavoie	15397-1US SC/ip 7621	7621
20988	7590	01/11/2005		EXAMINER	
	Y RENAU	LT LEGE AVENUE	PRONE, JASON D		
SUITE 16		LEGE AVENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MONTRI	EAL, QC	H3A2Y3	3724		
CANADA	A		DATE MAILED: 01/11/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/634,873	LAVOIE, JEROME					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jason Prone	3724					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 30 November 2004 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the control of the control	ntion. A proper reply to a not places the application in					
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.136(a).	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF							
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	÷ .					
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the					
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		·					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	ay						
Claim(s) allowed Claim(s) objected to: <u>6 and 7</u> .	All Air						
	Allan N. Shoap Supervisory Patent Examiner						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	Group 3700						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer							
10. ☐ Other: The foreign priority papers were received 06 Oc	•	 -					
TO.EN Other. The foreign priority papers were received to Oc		\mathcal{Q}					

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: In the previous set of claims, filed 14 June 2004, claim 6 is dependant off of claim 5. In the newly presented claims, claim 6 has been added to claim 1 and claim 5 is dependant from claim 1. Basically, claim 5 is now dependant from claim 6 (via the claim 1 and 6 combination). Amended claims 1 and 5 both have an antecedent basis problem ("said piston and cylinder arrangment" of claim 1 and "a piston and cylinder arrangment" of claim 5).